

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/647,522 08/25/2003		Daniel C. Sigg	P-11031.00	2282		
27581	7590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER			
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3763			
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				-	
• •		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/647,522		SIGG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
			D. Koharski	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the (cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THI FR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is no lowance except for	or formal matters, pro		merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	hdrawn from consideration deduction requirements.	irement.	Examiner.	
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	orrection is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Before the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been ments have been priority documer ureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National s	Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) see of References Cited (PTO-892) see of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,522

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a catheter, probe and electrode, classified in class
 604, subclass 20.
- II. Claims 18-29, drawn to a method of sensing and delivering a fluid, classified in class 604, subclass 500.
- III. Claim 30-41, drawn to a system comprising a fluid supply, catheter, probe, electrode, and power supply classified in class 604, subclass 22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the power supply and fluid supply is not needed for detection of the skin surface. The subcombination has separate utility such as the power supply and fluid supply means allows facilitation of agent delivery to the body.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all

Art Unit: 3763

the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions I (or III) and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the devices (I, III) as claimed can be used to auto agent delivery to the body by using the electrode sensing array via injection means or electrical means.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

A: Figure 1

B: Figure 2

Upon election of Species A, the following subspecies must be selected drawn to different configurations of the probe and electrode assembly.

i. Figure 3

ii. Figure 4

iii. Figure 5

Application/Control Number: 10/647,522

Art Unit: 3763

The species are independent or distinct because they are drawn to different catheter and probe systems with different power supply operations.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,522 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: 9/4/2006

Christopher D. Koharski AU 3763

COR

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700